



Equivalences of the Riemann Hypothesis are diverse and spread throughout all of mathematics; however, those typically involve estimates related to arithmetic functions. The one explained in this lesson, instead, is simply an equality:

Theorem 1. *The Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the equality:*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1-12t^2}{(1+4t^2)^3} dt \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \log |\zeta(\sigma+it)| d\sigma = \pi \frac{3-\gamma}{32} \quad (1)$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant: $\gamma := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \log n \right)$.

The following proof uses several results from Davenport's book "Multiplicative Number Theory" [2], along with some from Edward's "Riemann's Zeta Function" [3] and Titchmarsh's and Rodney's "The theory of the Riemann zeta-function" [4]. The entire lesson is a more detailed version of the article where this Theorem was first stated: "On an equality equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis" by V.V. Volchkov [5].

Proof. Start by considering the [Riemann \$\xi\$ function](#):

$$\xi(s) := (s-1)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right) \zeta(s). \quad (2)$$

This function also admits a factorization formula:

$$\xi(s) = e^{A+Bs} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{\frac{s}{\rho}} \quad (3)$$

where the product is over all roots ρ of the ξ function. Remember that the zeros of the ξ function are the non trivial zeros of the ζ function.

Computing the logarithmic derivative of 2 yields:

$$\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{\log \pi}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}. \quad (4)$$

While, computing the logarithmic derivative of [3](#) yields:

$$\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} = B + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right). \quad (5)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} &= \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} - \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\log \pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1 \right)} \\ &= B + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\log \pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1 \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Remark 1. *It is interesting to see how this last equation exhibits clearly the pole of $\zeta(s)$ at $s = 1$ and the non trivial zeros $s = \rho$. While the trivial zeros are contained in the term Γ .*

We want to calculate explicitly the term B . Equation [5](#) implies that:

$$B = \frac{\xi'(0)}{\xi(0)} = -\frac{\xi'(1)}{\xi(1)}$$

due to the functional equation [3](#) $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$.

Using the fact that:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1 \right)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s+2n} - \frac{1}{2n} \right). \quad (7)$$

Remark 2. *This can be obtained by computing the logarithmic derivative of [Weierstrass product for the Gamma Function](#).*

We have:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n} \right). \quad (8)$$

We will now prove that:

$$-1 + \log 2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n} \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

\Downarrow

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n} \right) = -1 + \log 2.$$

We will use Euler's expression of $\log 2$:

$$\log 2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)(2n+2)}.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
-1 + \log 2 &= -1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} = -1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) \\
&= -1 + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
-1 + \log 2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n} \right) &= -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} - \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1-n}{2n(n+1)} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n(n+1)} \right) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where in the last equality we used the known telescopic series:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \right) &= 1 \\
\Downarrow \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2n(n+1)} \right) &= \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \log 2.$$

Hence, substituting this value in equation 4:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\xi'(1)}{\xi(1)} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left[\frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right] - \frac{\log \pi}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma' \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)} \\
&\Downarrow \\
B &= \frac{\log \pi}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 + \log 2 - \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left[\frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right] \\
&\Downarrow \\
B &= \frac{\log 4\pi}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} - 1 - \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left[\frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

You can find on our site the [Limit of the Logarithmic Derivative](#):

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left[\frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right] = \gamma.$$

Therefore:

$$B = \frac{\log 4\pi}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2} - 1.$$

We can give another interpretation of B : The series $\sum \rho^{-1}$ converges, provided one groups together the terms from ρ and $\bar{\rho}$. If $\rho = \sigma + it$, then:

$$\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{2\sigma}{\sigma^2 + \gamma^2} \leq \frac{2}{|\rho|^2}$$

and we know that $\sum |\rho|^{-2}$ converges.

It follows from the functional equation for the ξ function that:

$$\frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} = -\frac{\xi'(1-s)}{\xi(1-s)}$$

and therefore, using equation 5:

$$B + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right) = -B - \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{1-s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$2B = \sum_{\rho} \left(-\frac{1}{s-\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{1-s-\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \right).$$

It is a known property of the ξ function that if ρ is a zero if and only if $1-\rho$ is a zero, therefore the terms containing $1-s-\rho = -s+(1-\rho)$ and $s-\rho$ are identical and cancel each other.

We are left with:

$$2B = -2 \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$B = - \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho} = -2 \sum_{t>0} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + t^2}.$$

In conclusion:

$$\sum_{t>0} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + t^2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\log 4\pi}{4}.$$

Defining the function f_t as:

$$f_t(\sigma) := \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + t^2} \tag{12}$$

We have just proven that:

$$\sum_{t>0} f_t(\sigma) = \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 4\pi}{4}. \quad (13)$$

An important step to prove Theorem 1 is understanding that:

The Riemann Hypothesis is true

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\sum_{t>0} f_t\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 4\pi}{4}. \quad (14)$$

This is due to the fact that $f_t(\sigma) > 0$ for all t, σ in the critical line, therefore the sum is strictly increasing. Also, the zeros ρ of the zeta function are symmetrical with respect to the critical line.

Hence, if one supposes true **RH**, the sum over all roots has to coincide with the sum over the roots with $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, which implies 14.

Conversely, if 14 is true than there cannot exist a root with $\sigma \neq \frac{1}{2}$, otherwise, by symmetry, there would also be such a root with $t > 0$ and therefore $\sum_{t>0} f_t(\sigma) > \sum_{t>0} f_t\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 4\pi}{4}$ which is a contradiction.

The conclusion of Theorem 1 follows from a computation of the sum: $\sum_{t>0} f_t\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$, we will denote it by A .

We have:

$$A = \int_0^\infty f_x\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) dN(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dN(x)}{\frac{1}{2} + 2x^2}$$

here $N(x)$ is the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the region $0 < \sigma \leq 1$, $0 \leq t \leq x$ ($s = \sigma + it$).

Remark 3. This is an example of a Riemann-Stieltjes integral, for details about this theory we recommend the book "The Stieltjes integral" by G. Convertito and D. Cruz-Urbe [1].

For those less interested in the complete theory, [the wikipedia page on the topic](#) should offer sufficient knowledge.

The Riemann-Stieltjes integral admits integration by parts, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dN(x)}{\frac{1}{2} + 2x^2} = \left| \frac{N(x)}{\frac{1}{2} + 2x^2} \right|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{16x}{(1 + 4x^2)^2} \right) N(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{16x}{(1 + 4x^2)^2} N(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Due to the fact that $N(0) = 0$ and $N(x) = \mathcal{O}(x \log x)$, so $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(x)}{\frac{1}{2} + 2x^2} = 0$.

Remark 4. The behavior of the function $N(x)$ for large x has been studied for more than a century. This particular formula can be found in several text, for example [4] or [3]. Also, we have analyzed it thoroughly in our [lesson regarding the Riemann-von Mangoldt Formula](#).

It is known that:

$$N(x) = 1 - \frac{x \log \pi}{2\pi} + \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left(\log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right) \right)}{\pi} + S(x)$$

where $S(x) = \frac{(\Delta_L \arg \zeta(s))}{\pi}$ is the increment of the argument of $\zeta(s)$ along a polygonal line with vertices at $s = 2$, $s = 2 + ix$, $s = \frac{1}{2} + ix$.

Remark 5. This can be found again in [4], page 212.

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_0^\infty \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} N(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} \left(1 - \frac{x \log \pi}{2\pi} + \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left(\log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right) \right)}{\pi} + S(x) \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx - \frac{\log \pi}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{16x^2}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx + \frac{I_2}{\pi} + I_1 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty S(x) \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx, \quad I_2 = \operatorname{Im} \left(\int_0^\infty \log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right) \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx \right).$$

Computing the first two integrals yields:

$$A = 2 - \frac{\log \pi}{4} + I_1 + \frac{I_2}{\pi}.$$

The integral I_2 can be calculated using integration by parts and the fact that:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) = \psi \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right)$$

where ψ is the digamma function. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right) \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx \\ &= \left| - \left(\frac{2}{4x^2+1} \right) \log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right) \right|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{2}{4x^2+1} \right) \psi \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) dx \quad (17) \\ &= -2 \log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \right) + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{2}{4x^2+1} \right) \psi \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

\Downarrow

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\int_0^\infty \log \left(\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) \right) \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{2}{4x^2+1} \right) \psi \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{ix}{2} \right) dx \right) = -\frac{\gamma}{4}\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \log 2. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

\Downarrow

$$A = 2 - \frac{\log \pi}{4} + I_1 - \frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{\log 2}{2}.$$

We can also evaluate I_1 using integration by parts and the estimate:

$$S_1(x) = \int_0^x S(t) dt = \mathcal{O}(\log x).$$

Remark 6. *This can be found in [4], page 222.*

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^\infty S(x) \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} dx = \left| S_1(x) \frac{16x}{(1+4x^2)^2} \right|_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty S_1(x) \frac{16(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx \\ &= - \int_0^\infty S_1(x) \frac{16(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Using now the fact that ([4], page 221):

$$S_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| d\sigma$$

we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t>0} f_t \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) &= \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 4\pi}{4}. \tag{20} \\ &\Downarrow \\ 2 - \frac{\log \pi}{4} - \int_0^\infty S_1(x) \frac{16(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx - \frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{\log 2}{2} &= \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\log 4\pi}{4} \\ &\Downarrow \\ \int_0^\infty S_1(x) \frac{16(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx &= 2 - \frac{\log \pi}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\log 4\pi}{4} - \frac{\log 2}{2} \\ &\Downarrow \\ \int_0^\infty S_1(x) \frac{16(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx &= -\frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\log 4\pi - 2\log 2 - \log \pi}{4} \\ &\Downarrow \\ \int_0^\infty S_1(x) \frac{16(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx &= \frac{3-\gamma}{2} \\ &\Downarrow \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| d\sigma \int_0^\infty \frac{(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx &= \frac{3-\gamma}{32} \\ &\Downarrow \\ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty \log |\zeta(\sigma + it)| d\sigma \int_0^\infty \frac{(1-12x^2)}{(4x^2+1)^3} dx &= \pi \frac{3-\gamma}{32} \end{aligned}$$

which proves 1. □



Thank you!

**We hope this lesson has been beneficial in studying
this interesting topic.
For more lessons or demonstrations, visit our website.**

References

- [1] Gregory Convertito and David Cruz-Urbe. *The Stieltjes Integral*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2023.
- [2] Harold Davenport. *Multiplicative number theory*. Vol. 74. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Harold M Edwards. *Riemann's zeta function*. Vol. 58. Academic press, 1974.
- [4] Edward Charles Titchmarsh and David Rodney Heath-Brown. *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. Oxford university press, 1986.
- [5] VV Volchkov. "On an equality equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis". In: *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal* 47.3 (1995), pp. 491–493.